

special collections



douglas Library

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY AT KINGSTON

kingston ontario canada





REMARKS

John ON Dumming Jun!

LETTERS

CONCERNING

M I N D.



LONDON:

Printed for John and James Rivington, in St. Paul's Church-yard.

M DCC LII.

AC911.1752.P47

BMAMAGE

MO

7-77-55 100

G. W. 3. 34.

PREFACE.

THESE Papers, which are called REMARKS, are taken from the Original Characters of the Author of the Letters concerning Mind; and referred to Passages in those Letters, in order to illustrate or explain them.

Tho' the Letters, and these Papers, were written for private Use, yet it is presumed they may be serviceable to Mankind; and, at the same time, preserve the Sentiments and Memory of a worthy and good Man.

Very early in Life he attained to great Perfection in Mathematical Learning; and afterwards applied himself to the Study of the Antients.

With

With what Success may be seen, not only from his explaining and throwing Light upon some of the most difficult and noblest Passages in Plato and Aristotle, but also from his discovering, amongst the remaining Ruins of the Pythagorean Philosophy, the Principles of Mind or Intelligence.

And he did not rest solely on the bare Authority of the Antients: For he had learned (to use his own Expression) to go without their Leading-strings, and had made the Theory of the Understanding complete: And having a full Comprehension of the Principles of Mind, was himself enabled to lead others into the same. Nor was he less remarkable for his Modesty, Sedateness, and Honesty (in its true Meaning, as explained by himself) than he was for Strength of Mind, and a disinterested Love and Pursuit of Truth.



REMARKS ON LETTERS.

CONCERNING

MIND.

AGE 1. RIGHT THOUGHTS

OF THINGS.] —— The
first and chief Care must
be of the Mind, to keep it

in full Vigour, and in a Disposition to see things as they are, without the least Wish or Desire that they should be otherwise than they are, whether they be the things that properly are, and cannot be otherwise, the Objects of Science, or the things that B improperly

improperly are, and may be otherwise,

the Objects of Opinion.

This implies a Care or due Regimen of the Body, that it may in the best manner accord with the Exercise of the Mind. For as there is a close Union and Sympathy of the Body with the Mind, so on the regular Motions of the former the Energies of the latter in a great measure depend. When therefore the Habit of Body suits with the Exercise of the Mind, then is the Time for the Mind to gratify and to exert itself.

'Tis very evident, that the Work of the Mind ought not to be undertaken as a Task, whether this Work be in observing, recollecting, reasoning, contemplating, deliberating, or governing and directing the Conduct of Life. Toward all this, as well as towards outward things, there ought to be no impatient, but a moderated Desire: On the other hand, there must be no Languor, no Melancholy, no Envy-

ing; for Truth is Good. The Mind must be passive, as it were, always open to receive the Light beaming into it: And if it fails of Success, there must be no Disturbance about it: The Attempt may be renew'd with the same easy Disposition, and Good-humour, People commonly take with them to a Play, or an Opera, which they go to for Amusement or Entertainment.

The Foundation of all present Happiness is laid in settling this Matter; and with this Disposition of Mind is necessarily connected Original Enjoyment, or that unmix'd Pleasure to which Plato joins the purest Light of the Understanding, the Philosophical Arithmetic.

This fame Disposition is coincident with Natural Affection and Equanimity; but inconsistent with Grief or Sorrow for what may betide Children, Relations, Friends, or Country. Learn then to swim in the Stream of Providence.

--- Neu fluites dubiæ spe pendulus horæ.

ALL must be resolved into the Order of Things, with the highest Veneration for it, every particular Subject improving or contributing to this Order; but above all the Order of Nature, Virtue itself, the Business of Man in this Universe, as mortal, uncertain of the Term of Life, and living in the midst, as it were, of an infinite Succession of Generations past and to come.

How little a thing Life is! Methinks in this last View of things, one has quite lost Sight of the World about us. This is good to aim at every Day of Life —— looking up every Morning to the Higher World.

Page 2. Knowlege of Men.] —
The Method of proceeding in the
Knowlege of Mankind is to begin
lege

with your felf: And from the Knowlege of yourself, to consider that which you have in common with other Men; and by what Addition and Subtraction of Ideas their different Characters are form'd: And to confider how all the Variety of Characters amongst Men in their several Ranks, Stations, and Employments of Life, are deriv'd from a few Original Principles of UNDERSTAND-ING and AFFECTION, in various Degrees and Proportions, by Nature herfelf mix'd and compounded, must, I should think, be a very agreeable Speculation, if you add the respective Employments of each Character in its particular Circumstances, and the Nature of the Government or State, on which the Manners of the whole People depend, and observe, at the same time, that, as Civil Liberty depends upon Moral Virtue, or coincides with it, fo it is the Foundation of all Virtue,

B 3

and all Arts and Sciences, amongst Men.

And thus it appears, by the way, that a State improves in the same manner as a particular Person: As Moral Liberty is the Foundation of all Improvement in a private Person, so Civil Liberty is the Foundation of all Improvements in a State.

Page 5. Good Writing.—
How is this Art of Writing acquired?
Just as all other Arts are. From many Observations of One Particular will result a General Idea, which will be a Rule; and from many Observations of another Particular will result another General Idea, which will be another Rule; and by this Method of proceeding with every Particular that deserves Notice within the Compass of the Art, the whole Art will be discover'd.

[7]

The next Question will be, how I shall discover these Particulars, on which I am to fix my Observation, fo as to be able to number them up, and know when I have them all? Now this is already done to our Hands: He, who of all Men feems to have been most capable of doing it, has done it; I mean Aristotle. For, befides the great and acknowleged Authority of the Man, I have fome particular Reasons of my own for taking him to be our Master above all others: Not that I am for passing by a Tully or Quintilian; on the contrary, fuch Authors, Iam of Opinion, may be of great Help in many Cases. All that I have to fay against them is, that I would by no means study them as Authors; but rather, by the Help of good Indexes, collect out of them such Definitions, Precepts, Remarks, or general Observations, as I should think I had Occasion for: Not allowing myself, B 4

in the mean time, to understand them, till I had made the Meaning of their Words my own, by particular Observation, and Experience too, if

necessary.

'Tis from a Sense of this, I suppose, that the good Archbishop of Cambray says somewhere, or says to this Purpose, "That the Comparing good and bad Authors together, will bring more Light into the Mind, than any Reading or Study of the Works of Critics or Rheto-

It feems, methinks, very plain and evident, that the general Stupidity and Blindness of the Moderns, as to the Art of Writing, as well as to the Art of Living, is owing to an unexamined Opinion, that the Sense and Skill of the Authors who wrote of these Arts, will naturally be transfused into them, by the bare Perusal of them; especially, if they join a little

little profound Thought and deep Re-

flection on these Subjects.

It may be faid, that the Variety of Styles is infinite. Let Style be infinite, as Plato confiders Pleasure in the Philebus: Let the chief and diftinguishing Dignity and Excellence of Style confift in Tropes and Figures, according to the modern Tafte: Then, so far as this is the distinguishing Characteristic of Styles, all the infinite Variety of them will fall within that which has fewest, and that which has most Tropes and Figures in it. And accordingly, without farther Speculation, I take my Authors in Hand, good and bad; comparing fuch as are most cramm'd wirh Metaphors and Figures, with fuch as are of the lower Strain, and come near to the contrary Extreme; and then proceeding with the intermediate ones. Each Author with himself I likewise compare, as well as with om another.

As this Way of gaining a Taste or fudgment is easy and natural, so it is agreeable and pleasant. There is no need of many Authors; sewest are best: Only they must be of every sort,

good and bad.

A Man, perhaps, who adheres closely to Reason, and fears the taking a Step without that Guide, may object to what has been faid above, in the following manner: ' If I cannot acquire a Style, without being a ' Judge of one, must I use no Endeavour to acquire one, till I am ' a Judge; and so restrain myself from all Exercise, whether in speak-' ing or writing? The Habit, without Exercise, most certainly will never come. — In what manner then must be this Exercise? If it be in Speaking, it will be impossible for me, when the Performance is over, to exercise my Judgment upon it to any Purpose. I shall on not be able to recollect it, or bring 4 it

it back in View, fo as to be able

to examine it thoroughly in the

Whole, and all its Parts.

The Exercise therefore must be in Writing; and upon this Tully lays the main Stress. And indeed it is hard to conceive how there ever could have been any such thing as Eloquence, if there had been no such thing as Writing; --- at least such Eloquence as there is now in the World.

But how can I, that am no fudge, pretend to guide myself in this Exercise, or judge of it after-

wards?

All that can be faid is, I must judge as well as I can, according to the utmost of my present Capacity; and this I may do without determining absolutely what is right or wrong. I must keep my Mind open to receive further Light, which I am endeavouring to bring into it, by studying to improve my Taste and Judgment

[12]

Judgment in the manner abovementioned.

Great Care must be taken not to fuffer that to pass with me for right and excellent, which much pleases and delights me, or which raises the Admiration and Esteem of others, to whom I communicate it; which is the modern Standard. But, in exercifing my Judgment on what I write, I must suspend my first Assent, till I have found out the Standard of Truth and Nature; and not rest satisfied, till I am conscious my own Judgment would agree with that of Plato (for instance), Xenophon, or Aristotle, &c. were my Productions to be laid before them.

For, supposing the Reality of a Standard to be Matter of Dispute, we all call it that which the best Judges agree in; and not take that for a mere Chimera, in which so many Men of different Ages, Genius, Education, agree, and in which all Men

Men very probably would agree, were they all duly to cherish those Seeds of Judgment which are in every Man's Breast, and cultivate their Minds so far as is requisite in this Case.

Page 5. GOOD BREEDING.—As to forming our Character, according to the Standard of what is Beautiful or Handsome, the right Way, methinks, if we would avoid Affectation, Formality, and an affuming Air, is, to have no Form or beautiful Appearance in View: Nor are we to consider how to appear in the Eyes of others; but to have our Eye upon that which does not appear, but produces the Appearance.

The Question then is, What is the Cause that produces the Appearance? And this I take to be the Use of Rea-

Son in governing ourselves.

The

The Understanding must have Ideas of what is little, mean, vile, or in general odious in Company; and apply its Aversion to the particular Ideas, which are as the Species to its general Idea. It must have its Inclination to fay and do what is friendly and focial, as well with regard to Persons present, as those that are absent: For a Defect in this Case will certainly be odious and deformed. But Friendship or Goodnature can never be offensive. Nor is it Impudence, or Assuming, or Pride, or Arrogance, to refrain from talking or doing things that are little, contemptible, and mean.

This a Man may do, without taking Airs. He may be as humble

as he pleases, and do this.

What Subjects then of Discourse are little, contemptible, and mean? They are enumerated by EpiEletus, where he bids you fix yourself a certain Character, which you are to maintain

maintain by yourself, and in Company with other Men. You are not (he says) to talk of Meats, Drinks, Sports, &c. nor of Men, comparing them with one another, or censuring them: Nor of yourself, so as to say any thing to set yourself off: For avoiding this is the best Way of setting yourself off, and recommending yourself to the World.

And here, if we will take the Advice of Menander, as we have it from Terence, we must proceed by the Negative in the Way mention'd above: For such at the bottom are the Rules by which the Character of the young Gentleman in the Andria is form'd, which made him so much

belov'd and esteem'd.

Sic vita erat: facile omneis perferre
ac pati,
Cun quihus erat cunque und iis

Cum quibus erat cunque unà, iis sese dedere,

Eorum:

Eorum obsequi studiis, advorsus nemini,

Nunquam præponens se aliis. —

Then follows a Remark upon these Rules, that observing them is the best Means of winning the Affections of Mankind.

The Word Studium is just before explain'd by Terence himself, and is used by Horace in the same Sense, as will appear below. Indeed the Precepts, that of self dedere, and that other of obsequi studiis, seem to be affirmative: But then they are founded in others, and imply others, that are negative, and cannot, without Pain, Constraint, and Affectation, take Place, where those others are not in full Force.

Never can the Affection of Benevolence operate naturally and freely till Self-love is annihilated or subdued. Nor is fefe dedere an affirmative Rule: It implies as well avoiding what is disagreeable, as doing or saying what is agreeable upon the whole. Nor without Self-denial can that of obsequi studiis be put in Practice: Nor had it ever been mention'd, but for the Difficulty of Self-denial.

Nec tua laudabis studia, aut aliena reprendes:

Nec, cum venari volet ille, poemata panges.

Gratia sic fratrum geminorum, Amphionis atque

Zethi, dissiluit, donec suspecta se-

Conticuit lyra.

Hor. L.1. Ep. 18.

Self-denial indeed seems to be at the bottom of all. For what is self dedere, but to resign one's self up to others, to forget ourselves, and only to have a Regard to others, and C make

make this very thing itself the Object

of Self-love?

Every Motion of the Mind (fays Tully) has its outward visible Effect: And as the common Way of endeavouring to please, and to make a fine Appearance, has its outward Appearance, tho' but a very poor one; so, on the other hand, that which flows from Benevolence must always produce an amiable Effect. A Man need not be much concerned about what appears outwardly, but take for granted that all would be right, if all was well within: For indeed 'tis this very thing which makes the Charm.

The Characters of Fine Gentlemen and Ladies, of the Polite and Well-bred, as they are called, are not unlike the Pieces of those Painters, who would rather please by gaudy and glaring Colours, suited to the Fancy and Genius of the Age, than express the true Proportions of Nature according

[19]

cording to Art. But Good-Breed-ING, or Honesty itself, has always Rules ready to go by; acting like the honest Painter or real Artist, according to Rules of Art independent of the World.

Honesty every way extends itself thro'every Action relating to Mankind: Every focial Action therefore ought to have fomething focial in it, fomething friendly, kind, and benevolent. This makes it amiable and handsome, pleafant and agreeable; agreeable in the Prospect, agreeable in the Energy, agreeable in the Review: I say every focial Action, every Part of Behaviour to every one of the Species, whether great or fmall, confiderable or inconfiderable. For if it be not spirited with this Principle, it will be fome other, of a mean, contemptible, ridiculous, wrong, or unjust Kind; from foolish Fear, a mean Endeavour to please, or Concern for pleasing: Some little or great Motive of Selfishness C 2

ness of one kind or other. This in general must be the Case. But this can only be understood thoroughly by particular Observation.

Page 6. ELOQUENCE. It was a Question among the Romans, whether Eloquence was the Effect of Art, or the natural Plant of Exercise in a good Genius? — Tully's Brother (as he fays) was of this latter Opinion. But, if the Question be turned into this, Whether Eloquence is a thing which may be learn'd, or which I may make myself Master of by a right Use of my Understanding? there can be no room for Dispute. For, my Understanding discovering the Nature of Exercise, makes use of it to attain its End. And this, I suppose, is Art.

Exercise, commonly understood, is doing a thing over in private, in order

order to do it afterwards better in public: But, in general, 'tis the doing over, in order to do it better for the future: And in this Sense Virtue is an Exercise. For, as Conversation with good Men (as Xenophon expresses it) is Exercise of Virtue, so is likewise Studying, or living in private.

My Understanding tells me, I receive a double Advantage from Exercise: In the first place, it is that alone by which I acquire a Habit: And, in the next place, it presents to my Observation a new Scene of Particulars, for raising general Ideas; of which otherwise I could have no Notion at all.

Page 14. Science of Gentlemen.]

This is the peculiar Science of the

[&]quot; Liberal, or of Gentlemen. For this Science itself is alone for the sake

[&]quot; of itself; and on this account it

[&]quot; may be justly deemed to be no

buman Possession. For human Nature, in many Instances, is de-" pendent: So that, according to Simonides, God alone can have " this Privilege; and it is not fit for Man to enquire into any Science above Man. But, if there be any thing in what the Poets " affert, and the DIVINITY be indeed envious, it is likely it happens in this respect; and that your Virtuosos are the most miserable of all Men. But it is not possible for the DIVINITY to be envious; and Poets, according to the Proverb, in many things feign. Neither ought any other to be esteem'd as more honourable; because it is most divine, and most bonourable. " Now this only can be fuch in two " Respects; for that which God " has, is, of all Sciences, the most * divine; and is, if any Science " be so, of Things divine. Now "this alone includes both: For God " feems

[23]

"feems to be the Cause in all things, and a certain Principle. And such a Principle, God, either alone, or chiefly, can possess. All other Sciences are more necessary, but none more excellent." Arist. Met. p. 841. Edit. Duval.

Page. 20. Translation of the concluding Part to the Sixth Book of Plato's Republic.

Socrates. Know then, they are, as we are talking of them, two (Mind, and the Sun); and exercise Dominion; the one over the intelligible Things in the intelligible World; the other over visible Things in the visible World. Of these two Orders of Being, Intelligible and Visible, I suppose you have a clear Notion.

Glauco. I have.

Socrates. Carry on then this Division, as if it were a Line divided C4 into

into two unequal Segments; and each of these, I mean the fensible and intelligible, divided again after the same manner; and you will have Evidence and Obscurity in your Ideas of them set one against another. In the visible kind, one Segment is Images: Under Images I comprehend, first, Shadows; and then those Imitations or Resemblances of things which appear in Water, and in things that are at the same time dense, smooth, lucid, and whatever there is of this kind, if you apprehend me?

Glauco. I apprehend you.

Socrates. For the other Segment, then, take that which this first is like, the living Creatures about us, and whatever is the Work of Nature and Art.

Glauco. I take it.

Socrates. Will you then allow of this Distinction between the Objects of Opinion and those of Knowlege, that,

that, as they partake more and less of *Truth* and *Reality*, they are to one another as *Copies* to their *Originals*?

Glauco. I will very readily.

Socrates. Now then confider the other Segment of the *Intelligible*, how this is again to be divided.

Glauco. How?

Socrates. Thus — One Segment the Soul is obliged to feek, by using as Images the Things just now divided, and proceeding upon the Bottom of Hypotheses, not upwards, towards that which is first, but downwards, towards that which is last. The other, without using any of this fort of Images, but only Ideas themselves, as she makes her Way thro' them, and taking her Steps by means of Hypothesis upwards, towards that which is first, and incapable of being made the Subject of any Hypothesis.

Glauco. What you fay, I don't

fufficiently understand.

Socrates. Once again then; for, after what has been faid, you will the more easily understand it. You know, that they who are conversant in Geometry, Arithmetic, &c. suppose even and odd Figures, the three Species of Angles, and other things akin to these, according to each Method of proceeding: Now, having made them Hypotheses, as sufficiently knowing them, they are not pleased to give any further Account of them, either to themselves or others, as being evident to every one: And then, from these Beginnings having gone through the rest, they end at last with full Assent of Mind, in that, whatfoever it be, they shall have set themselves about to confider.

Glauco. This I know.

Socrates. You know too, that they make use of visible Forms, and talk and

and discourse about them, whilst their Mind is employ'd, not about these, but the Things they are like; the Square it/elf, the Diameter it/elf, for Example; not this which they delineate: And fo in other things, which are refembled by Shadows and Images in Water, whilst their Enquiry is, to fee that which can be no otherwise seen than by the Mind. - This then is that Order of Intelligibles I was speaking of, in search after which, the Soul was obliged to make use of Hypotheses; not going upwards to that which is first, as wanting Strength to make Excurfions above Hypotheses, but using as Images Things themselves, which are represented by others of the Order below, and are confider'd and divided as evident, in Comparison of them.

Glauco. As I understand you, you are speaking of Geometry, and shose kinds of Arts.

Socrates.

Socrates. Understand then the other Segment of the Intelligible I am speaking of, to be that which pure Reason reaches; making Hypotheses, as the exerts herself at large in the Way of Dialectic; not Principles, but in Reality Hypotheses, as it were Steps and Scaffolds, in order to ascend, even to that which is incapable of being made Hypothesis, to One first Principle of all Things; and then, when she has reach'd it, to come down again, holding by Ideas, which themselves hold from the first Principle, through Ideas, without the Help of any sensible thing at all, and end at last in Ineas.

Glauco. I don't well understand you: It seems to be of a complex Nature, what you are speaking of. I suppose you would distinguish that Portion of Being and Intelligible, which belongs to the Science of Dialectic, as carrying a higher Degree of Evidence

Evidence than that which belongs to the Arts, as they are call'd; where Hypotheses are Principles, and the Masters of them are obliged to know things by the Eye of the Mind, and not by the Senses: And yet, because they don't carry up their Search to that which is first, but build upon Hypotheses, you feem to think they have not a full Understanding of Things, tho' in themselves intelligible, as having a real Foundation in that which is First. When you speak of feeing Things by the Eye of the Mind, you feem to have in View Geometrical Things, &c. but so as to give them the Place of a Mean between Opinions and Intelligence.

Socrates. You understand me exceedingly well. And again — With your four Segments, take these four corresponding Affections of the Soul arising from them: With the highest, Intellection; with the second, Knowlege; against the third, set Opinion;

and

and against the fourth, Imagination: And give them proportionable Order; understanding them to partake of Evidence, just as you see the Things they are corresponding with partake of Reality.

It may be observed, that under Dialectic, in the Translation above, is comprehended Algebra; which proceeds upon Hypotheses or self-evident Principles, &c. just as Geo-

metry does,

Plato's Aim is to express himself in the most general distant Way he possibly can; not to explain the Thing fully to his Reader, so as to save himself the Trouble of any After-Thought and Study, but the quite contrary: For, when Socrates says the other Person sufficiently understands him, the Meaning only is, that he understands him in the gross; as when I understand the Terms of a Question, the Truth of which I am

very incapable of determining, fo as to understand it in this other Sense.

The Language of *Plato* in this Passage is so very *general*, that I had not for a long time any tolerable satisfactory Notion of it, till I particularly consider'd the *first*, last, and Things intermediate, in the latter End of the Seventh Book.

Page 22. ARITHMETIC PECULIAR TO PHILOSOPHERS.] — According to Plato, Philosophy is conversant about unequal Monads, the brightest clearest Truths of Arithmetic; its Business being only to enumerate the Sorts of Things, and see how they agree and disagree; or, in other Words, how they are same and different: And the like Evidence it carries in every Step it takes synthetically or analytically; every Subject and Predicate being two Monads. — Mankind, destitute

destitute of the purest Light of the Understanding, this Philosophical Arithmetic, are represented by Plato as chained down in a dark Gavern: Having no Criterion by which Truth may be known, they are, as it were, necessitated to take all things by Guess.

May not this be judged, by comparing Plato's Idea of TRUTH, or Good, as he calls it, with the Opinion of those Philosophers, who make Truth to discover itself? — "Clear Evidence (fays *the Chevalier Ramfay, speaking in his own the Sentiments of the Moderns) " is a Perception; a Light that modifies and de-" termines the Mind irresistibly. I do " not yet examine if this Evidence cheats us. Supposing it did so, -we must submit to it. It is an " invincible Power, that has a fovereign Dominion over us; over the " American and the European, the

^{*} Phil. Prin. of Nat. and Rev. Rel. B. 1. P. 19, 20.

[&]quot; Asiatic

" Afiatic and the African, the Hot-" tentot and the Laplander, the

" Chinese and the Peruvian. We

" cannot escape from its universal

" Empire, &c. - I do not pretend

" to give a general Criterion or Cha-

" racteristic, by which one may know "Truth infallibly: This is impossible.

It is known only by itself; as Sun-

" Shine is distinguished from Twilight.

"It is fo fimple, fo evident, and fo "luminous, that it can have no

" other Token, Proof, nor Badge,

" but itself."

But, if clear Evidence be from clear Ideas, may it not be asked, What it is that gives Light to these Ideas themselves, or strikes out Light from amongst them? --- Are there not in Arts and Sciences (if the Expression may be allow'd) Syllables?-- Syllable, in general, signifies Comprehension, or Connection, or Combination. In Grammar, for instance, it signifies fewer or more Letters. --- In Geometry,

Geometry, the Syllables are some original Species of Quantity; as Lines and Figures: As, for Example, a Triangle; then a right-angled Triangle in a Semicircle, which makes a greater Syllable, whose Elements Hill are Lines and Figures, &c .---In the Understanding, the Objects of MIND are Syllables, and have their proper Elements, every way extending thro' all Systems of Knowlege. ---If these Elements or Principles are unknown to the European, is he not in the same Darkness (Plato's Cavern) with the Hottentot and the Laplander, the Chinese and the Peruvian?

"TRUTH (it is faid above) is known only by itfelf; as Sunshine is distinguished from Twilight; and is so simple, so evident, and so luminous, that it can have no other Token, Proof, nor Badge, but itself." ---- Is not this in Philosophy like the Quakers Light in

Religion?

Page 32. DIFFERENT OBJECTS OF REASON.]— There is this effential Difference between Art and Science. In the Way of Science you do not want the Affishance of Memory to form a general Idea, as you do in the Way of Art.—There is no need of Memory to understand the general Definitions or Propositions of Euclid; as there is, for instance, to know that Tar-water is good.——Here you must try Tar-water in a Multitude of like Cases, and accurately remember the Effect of each Trial, in order to see the same in every one.

Page 36. Speculation.]---To make the highest abstract Speculations pleasant and easy, is the main Point. And to make the Speculation of lower Subjects likewise easy and pleasant, as join'd with the other, is all in all. --- Now this is done, by applying Aversion to whatever interferes

terferes with it. Let the Subject be whatever it will, it is not itself offensive to the Understanding. --- If then I am to consider the Subject of any abstract Speculation, I am not to put my Mind under Constraint: And, to make it free, I have only to remove other intruding Thoughts, and the Mind will naturally move upon the Subject which I place before it, and will do it with as much Ease, as when it accompanies the Eyes in the Survey of a Prospect.

Page 36. Contemplation. ---- Is Contemplation Reasoning from Principles to Conclusions; or having in View that which is above Principles, the Sovereign Beauty, as related to the sensible World, and to Man in his most improved State? What is the rosav of Aristotle, and the risk exer of Plato?

Page 44. OUTWARD THINGS INDIFFERENT.] — Reason tells me there is no Good or Evil in outward Things; and, consequently, nothing so but what is in my own Power.

This is a Doctrine which is immediately dictated by the CAUSE OF ALL THINGS, which fays to me in the Language of Reason, "That she keeps the Management of outward Things in her own Hands; and sets them a-going in one unchangeable Order: That she leaves me nothing to do, but what she has left in my own Power; equally free from all Concern for the Past and Future; neither of which are to be regarded, but as the Consideration of them may be useful to myself, within myself."

The Confideration of the Past is useful in two Respects; as it is to be connected with the Future, and as it may afford some Light for the

D 3 Management

Management of the Future. And the Future is to be confider'd, that Things within myself, and what depends on them in outward Behaviour, may proceed in a regular orderly Manner, according to Reason and Design.

Without these, Life has nothing in it satisfactory; but, on the contrary, is dull, irksome, melancholy; affording no Pleasure in going rationally on, none in the *Prospect* of doing so, nothing in the kind in what is

past, for Reflection.

Let a Life full of Fears, Troubles, and mean Hopes, be plac'd in Opposition, by way of Contrast, as 'tis call'd, to a Life of Reason and Philosophy, supposing both to be equally private and unseen: — What signifies this? — Who would not willingly not only weed out all those noisome poisonous Weeds, but replenish his Mind with the finest Flowers? Who would not rather chuse to live

in a beautiful Apartment, everywhere furrounded with a delightful Prospect, than in a poor loathsome Cot, without a Prospect any way, but what is odious and detestable; and where your only Resource is, not to know where you are?

Page 45. TRANSLATION OF THE COMPOSITION OF THE SOUL IN THE TIMEUS.] -- " By the Mixture " of that Being which is invariable, " and always the same, with that " which is variable, according to " the Nature of Bodies, he produced " a third Mean between them both. " And as to the Nature of Same " and Different, he constituted it in " like manner, a Mean between that " which is invariable, and that " which is variable, according to " the Nature of Bodies. And now " there are Three, he takes and " mixes them all in One Idea."

D 4

Page

Page 46. MEN OF SCIENCE. J--Modern Mathematicians do but dream about TRUTH. The Objects of their Understandings are they know not what. They know not whether they are made, or not; whether they are Phantoms, or Realities. -- Modern Metaphysicians allow them no Reality; but suppose they are made as the Soul is, out of nothing; and that the Existence of external Things is necessary to their Truth.

This feems to be Mr. Locke's Opinion. Does he not suppose the Understandings of Men to come and go, like the Fruits of Trees?——And even with regard to Happiness and Good, your Men of Learning, in common with the rest of Mankind, seem to have nothing in their Heads but sensible Objects, or Imaginations form'd out of them: Whilst the Philosopher has his own Dailuor connected

nected with the Universal One, present every where, always in View.

This Δαιμων is Plato's αυριώτατον ψυχης είδος, that FIXED INVARIABLE PRINCIPLE, which, amongst all the Changes and Revolutions of the Affections, keeps us the same within.

Plato calls it Tautor, from its fixed and invariable Nature; as he does

the other inferior Part Exegor.

The close Connexion between these Two, and the Power of one over the other, shews us plainly the Beauty and Order of Nature. For, by the Union and Harmony of these Two different and opposite Principles, Moral Beauty itself is form'd. Could they both be fixed, or the latter brought into a regular Course of Conformity with the former, by any one Scheme or Resolution, without Thought or Care in the Execution of it, Virtue would not be Virtue. Such, however, is the vulgar Thought and Expectation.

Page

Page 46. OPINION OF THINGS.]--Right Opinion of Things gives Tranquility of Mind. By enumerating the Things that can give me Difturbance, and applying the general Opinion to every one of these Things, I find it true, that Right Opinion has this Effect. — This is my Cafe Today: -- To-morrow, the other Opinion of Things creeps into the Mind, and works much Disturbance there, without my taking any Notice at all of what is doing; and I am in the dark to myself, and dream, till I awake, and reflect on what has been doing within. Then I apply Right Opinion, and all is well again.

Having repeated this many times, I find Right Opinion to be Good, and love it as fuch; and Vulgar Opinion to be Evil. The oftener this is done, the more I esteem and value Right Opinion, as Good; and the more I disesteem and abhor Vulgar Opinion,

as Evil: And the more I again esteem and value my own Reason, as it makes use of Right Opinion, and that Original Reason from whence

my own is derived.

Hence the Necessity of setting Right Opinion in the strongest Light, and of keeping it alive in the Mind. This must be effected by recovering and reviewing the Evidence; by keeping the Mind in a proper Difposition, and, consequently, the Body; on the regular Motions of which depend the Motions of the Mind.

Right Opinion is good for nothing, without the Use of it: And the Use of it is from REASON, as it governs: Which, in this respect, tho' never taken notice of as fuch by the World,

is the HIGHEST GOOD.

What is the Governing Part?-The Governing Part gives Laws (for instance, the Laws of Temperance, Fortitude, &c.); directs and inspects what is done with regard to these

Laws,

Laws, or learns what new Laws are wanting; and therefore reviews, as well as looks forward, and repeats this Work to the End of Life; but, above all, is jealous of its own Authority, and cautious against any Insurrection against it: For the main Struggle must be to support the Government.

Whatever Things have Order, have Unity of Design, and concur in One, are Parts constituent of One Whole. - SELF-GOVERNMENT must form and preserve this in Life. And no Wonder, as all the Satisfaction of Life depends upon it; and immediate Uneafiness, Ill-humour, and Dissatisfaction, attend the Want of it (as is abundantly evident from Experience); no Wonder, I say, fo much Stress is laid upon it, as to place HAPPINESS and Good in it. Love and Friendship to Some, Candor and Civility to All, depend upon it, as its Effects. These, therefore,

fore, are Goods of a fubordinate Kind,

dependent on the former.

There is a manifest Difference in the inward Features of Men. This appears from the Consideration of the Characters of many particular Persons; that is to say, their general Character, as they differ from one another. But the Character of each of them, as they live from Day to Day, is not confider'd. But this MORAL BEAUTY, which is to be purfued for its own fake, lies in the Confiftency and Harmony of the successive Actions from one Day to another. And this is the End and Aim of a good and virtuous Man, as contain'd in his Prospect of To-morrow.

Let the Business then of Self-Government proceed according to Art. A few general Laws or Rules will not answer the End. It is not sufficient to review, inspect, and direct; there must be set Laws, established as in a State; and the Review,

view, Inspection, and Direction, be referred to the Observance or Non-observance of these Laws; and general Consultations held for making new Laws, or Amendments to old ones.

Probably the best Way to learn this Art of Government is by Practice; and to begin with the least Things. Think nothing too inconsiderable to be recognized. Begin, for instance, with Attention to every little thing that occurs in Solitude, or in the Company of the meanest Persons; with Servants; with my Family. For here is Right and Wrong in Things which are overlook'd, as indifferent, and not worth Notice.

This continual Attention to the inward Concern, is not more continual, conftant, and minute, than that of the Well-bred towards their outward Behaviour. The Principle of this latter Proceeding is, partly, because

cause it is right and handsome in itself, and partly, because its handsome and amiable Appearance gains Honour and Respect from abroad. - The Principle of the former is a Sense, Opinion, or Belief, that it is Good in itself, and the only Means of pasfing away Life with most Satisfaction, and least Uneasiness, as well in private, apart from the World, as abroad, in the World. -- Now, as a Man must be most unhappy, who takes with him a Deceiver, that flicks to him, and follows him everywhere; fo, on the other hand, is he happiest, when he takes with him a true Friend, who will never deceive him, but is constantly guarding him against Imposture and Deceit. Such a domestic Friend or Companion is furely nearer and dearer to him, than Father, Mother, Children, Friends, Acquaintance, Patron, or Physician.

This

This Regimen is in general directed by a due Regard to the Order of Things within and without; Reason within, that from above; the Order of Things without, conducted by the same Cause.

Page 47. Custom and Fashion.] How comes it to pass, that Custom and Fashion have so much Influence over human Affairs, as in a manner to govern the World? — May not this be accounted for, from considering the Nature of the human Mind? Tho' Custom and Fashion go together, yet they are not the same: For, in different Times, and different Places, Fashion varies; but, in all Times, and all Places, Custom is the same.

How does Fashion take its Rise?— Is it not from some One Person in Authority, who gradually grows into Esteem, for some new Discovery, or Practice?—— This Person shall be imitated Ages after his Name is for-

gotten,

[49]

gotten, or little more than his Name remains.

Is it not strange, to see how that not only the Manners, but the very UNDERSTANDINGS of Men, are moulded and fashioned by Authors in Repute, and Doctrines in Credit! And that Mankind in general pay more Regard to Custom and Fashion than to Truth and Nature!

Page 50. TRUTH GENERAL.]--Let A stand for One individual Triangle, in the One individual particular Semicircle S. Let B stand for
another, in the same Semicircle; C
for another, &c. &c. and P for another. Suppose I knew A to be a
right-angled Triangle, in some particular manner or other (as by measuring); and likewise B, and likewise
C: From my knowing that these

E
Three

Three particular Triangles in the Semicircle S are right-angled, or even from my knowing that Twenty, or Thirty, or Ten thousand more, are right-angled, I cannot from hence conclude, that P in the fame Semicircle is a right-angled one. ---Nay, suppose I could measure an endless Number of Triangles in the fame Semicircle S; I cannot, even by this, conclude P in the Semicircle to be a right one. --- But, if I could fome way or other measure ALL the Triangles in the faid Semicircle S, and were affured I had done it, I am then likewise assured, that I have, among the rest, meafured P, and that P, therefore, is a right-angled Triangle .-- So that it is not my Idea of Many nor of Number, nor of Infinite, that is my Standard here, without the Idea of a WHOLE.

Thus I understand the 47th of Euclid, when I understand, that

EVERY

Every right-angled Triangle has Sides in the Proportion there mention'd. And tho', when I am satisfying myfelf of the Truth of that Propolition, I have only in View the fimple Forms of right-angled Triangles, without a Thought of their being any of them combin'd or connected with other Forms; yet, when I come afterwards to know that all the Triangles in the Semicircle S above-mention'd are right-angled, I fee at once this All included in the ALL or EVERY of the 47th Proposition. And this I take to be Plato's έξωθεν περιεχομένας in the Sophista. And the Case would be the same, if I knew the like of all the Triangles of Two fuch particular Semicircles as that mention'd, or of Three, or of any Number; nay, of ALL Semicircles whatever. This All, I should see at once was included in that GREAT ALL of the 47th Proposition. --- And thus the E 2 Mind

Mind feems to proceed in the most ordinary Cases. And thus, universally, Nature, by one General Voice, speaking one thing after another, does, in reality, tell me all, on maine regional market.

Page 60. INDUCTION. --Nothing can be more instructive than what Aristotle fays of the Method of acquiring Knowlege by Induction. If we join what he fays of Prudence, or the Knowlege of Particulars, we have then from him One General Rule for finding out Truth in Moral Subjects; and are let into the Nature and Manner of studying ourselves; which, in short, is thus: -- By Inspecting the Present, Looking back on the PAST, and Forecasting the FUTURE. - For this includes Prudence, which includes Ἐυβελία. ---Nay, the very Nature of this very thing

 $\begin{bmatrix} 53 \end{bmatrix}$

thing itself is learned by the same Method: By many particular ogcernous, I shall come to know PRUDENCE ITSELF; what it is to me, and whether it be κράτισον ἐπιτήδευμα.

Eußeria is a right State of Mind, in which we consider what is proper or useful to a certain End. - Suppose the End I would obtain to be Eating plain Food with Pleasure, and without a Desire of what is called Good-Eating; I thus consider with myself before-hand :

Every time I use Exercise and Abstinence, I eat with Pleasure, and my Spirits are raised:

To-day I use Exercise and Abs-

tinence:

To-day I shall eat with Pleasure, 80°C

It may be observed, that the End proposed by the prudent Man, in every Circumstance of Life, is good; and

E 3

and the Consideration of the Means, by Syllogizing within himself, must likewise (besides the Beauty of it, common to all other Reasoning) be agreeable; and it must be much more

fo, to attain the End.

The Observation of one and the fame, in particular simple Things, and fyllogizing on this Bottom, makes the Harmony of Mind with fensible Things.—Hence, by the way, the Beauty of the Soul's Mixture, where MIND accompanies Sense everywhere. - Thus, the continual Union of Sense and Reason, in the Application of GENERAL IDEAS to the Particulars of Life, makes a perfect Character. That which determines the Agent to fuch a Conduct, is the Good he understands to be in it. A thorough Idea of this Good is collected from Practice; and the Practice itself is supported and maintained by this very Idea, which is loft

[55]

lost in every kind of it, as the Conduct turns another Way.

Page 65. HARMONY of NATURE, &c.] -- The Author here follows the Antients, in taking this double View of Nature, confidering her as passive, and confidering her as active. Some of the Moderns feem to have no other Notion of God, than as an Architect; nor of the World, than as a Building: God has Materials (Atoms) and, these put together according to Art, a World is made. On this Hypothesis their Imagination naturally gives Bounds to their World; leaving to itself a vast Field of Space to range in beyond it, which they call Infinite; because they can always imagine a Space beyond what they at any time imagine. They make the Bulk of the Building, but not the E 4 Materials,

[56]

Materials, to have Form, Beauty, or Order. Whereas, according to the Antients, there was no Part of Nature, either within or without, but what had the Stamp or Impres-

sion of Divine Art.

As to the Power of imagining, may not one prefume to be upon an equal Footing with any of the Moderns? Let the World of the Antients therefore keep Pace, with their imagin'd Space; let it indeed be bigger, that it may be, to a perfect Eye, a full Object; and for a perfect Understanding to display itself upon, a full Subject.

Page 68. Commensurate to the Understanding, &c.] — It may be objected, that Finite cannot comprehend that which is Infinite; and that nothing beside what is infinite can see infinite Connexions.

Infinite,

Infinite, being that which exceeds any assignable Finite, cannot be comprehended by it: But Insinite, in this Sense, evidently falls within the Comprehension of the human Mind. Every general Idea is of larger Extent: And were it not so, we could not reason; nor would there be any such thing as Truth. — Every and All are implied in every general Proposition; but an Insinity of Things is only Some Things: And from an Insinity of Triangles having Angles equal to Two right, you cannot conclude that any One has.

As to feeing the infinite Connexions in the Visible Universe, no doubt there is need of an Eye to take in the whole Object; but there is no need of Ideas of larger Extent than the human, to judge of the

Whole.

Suppose we had Telescopes that discover'd the Universe to be fill'd with

with Solar Systems, and Sir Isaac' Newton's Theory of our System to be true, we should then see the Whole moving according to one and the same general System of Laws.

Page 71. ORDER of THINGS.]—The Order of all Things is fettled by the Governor of all Things. 'Tis

wrong

[59]

wrong therefore in me to break in upon this Order, by defiring it to be otherwise than it is in any Case whatever. This is not submitting to God; and, besides, it is making myself

miserable.

But, in this Order of Things, there is fomething I ought to reverence, which I have myself a Share in; and this is xpiious quertacion. My past Thoughts and Actions are necessary. I could not do otherwise. Now they are past, I can review them; I can correct my Thoughts, and renew my Attempt; I can lay my Defign better. - Here again there is Error unavoidable. However, I am still Master of myself: I can still renew my practical and speculative Thoughts. I can fee again what is amis, and endeavour to do better. And thus my Business is To day as Yesterday, and To-morrow as To-day: Till, at last, To-day or To-morrow closes

[60]

closes the Scene, and puts an End to the Action; which is not broken or interrupted, but finished and complete, as it is terminated according to Design.

Page 72. Modern Moralist.] The common Error of our Modern Moralists is trusting too much to Will. They who maintain that Men do ill knowingly (as they phrase it), have Reason to regard their Will more than their Understanding. Thus is all Philosophy, or the Use of Reason in moral Subjects, subverted at once.

The Earl of Shaftesbury has very artfully undermined this Prejudice, by his borrow'd Story of an Amour, in which the Vanity of trusting to a Will sufficiently appears; as well as the Importance of reasoning with ourselves upon the Motives of Action.

tion. For the more we lose our Reason, the less Power have we to do what we please; and the stronger any Passion grows in us, the weaker in proportion does our Reason grow. The Knowlege which the young Nobleman wanted, was that of the Evil of this Passion which made him a Slave. Had he known this, fo as to prevent the Growth of his Passion, he had maintained his Liberty, and done nothing amis. And thus, could we view ourselves with regard to the other Passions, which make Avarice, Intemperance, Luxury, Pride, Ambition, Cowardice, Sloth, fo as to keep them within those Bounds which Nature has fixed, we should in no Case, with regard to ourselves, or Mankind, act amis.

But how is this Growth of these Passions to be prevented or opposed?—By applying that natural Power which

which Nature has given us for this Purpose, Aversion or Resentment. But, in applying this, we must take care to have Courage not to be in a Passion, or disturbed, if at the same time we cannot succeed in our moral Attempts; but remember always to say, "* You are come, O Fancy, "according to your old Custom, "angry with you I am not; only begone." For, otherwise, this Passion, which is assistant to Reason, would prove its worst of Enemies.—

The Nature of Things cannot be alter'd.

Page 73. Love of Truth.]—It cannot be denied that there is naturally in all Men, not only in Matters of Speculation, but likewise of Practice, a Love of Truth. For,

^{*} Marc. Antonin.

in Practice, no one does amils willingly, but for want of knowing better. To affert that a Man does amis, knowing better, is to talk of

Knowlege without Knowlege.

There can be no Knowlege (strictly speaking) but when the Mind is quiet and calm, undisturbed by Passions within itself, or by any irregular Motions of the Body. Modern Mathematicians and Gamesters observe the strictest Temperance, in order to make the best Use of their Understandings. The Gamester resembles the Philosopher in one respect better than the Mathematician; his Business being not bare Theory, but how to suit his Practice with his Speculation.

For the Reason before-mention'd, Children, or sick Persons, or Persons in Liquor, are said to be incapable of Knowlege. And he that restects upon what he has done, and says that he now knows better, may be said to

be in the Case with a Man in Liquor grown sober, or a sick Man that has recover'd his Health. So that, not only that Knowlege which falls under the Head of Science, but likewise that which falls under the Head of Prudence, depends upon a Quiet and Calm within.

Had this been the State of Medea's Mind, she could no more have destroy'd her Children, than she could have removed a Mountain. She was drunk with Passion; she was mad: But still she had the Appearance of Reason. Something was in her Mind, which was the Cause of this Disorder: For she thought it right, and could not help it. — This is allow'd. Let her now recover out of her Sleep, or Dream, or Madness; and now she finds her only Remedy in her Reason, and that Knowlege was the Thing she wanted; and that in reality she wanted it, and was therefore mad.

Every one does that which feems good to him; but defires and wishes only what is good in itself. So that, if he does not understand what is good in itself, he acts contrary to the Wish or Desire of his own Mind. When therefore we act amis, 'tis thro' Ignorance, or rather Madness; for 'tis then we are befide ourselves. And the ready Way to act right, is to keep our Reason, or governing Part) in its proper Station. Hence, VIRTUE is Knowlege, and PHILOSOPHY the Love of Truth. 'Tis nothing but freely exercifing the Mind on the Subjects of Religion and Morality. ---- If in Practice any thing is amiss, 'tis for Want of Knowlege; nor can it be otherwise rectified, than by supplying this Want: And the endeavouring to do better, is but endeavouring to know and understand better; which proceeds from a fincere Purfuit and Love of Truth.

F

Page

Page 77. Movoerdes of Plato.]—
The Mind is like the Eye, which opens on a Prospect which had a Being before; supposing it to be so constituted, that the Sight of one Object in the Prospect depended on the Sight of another. Neither the Objects, nor the Light by which they are seen, are generated. When we discover Truth, 'tis with the inward Eye as with the outward one, when it sees a new Object.

The Objects of the Eye are fixed to a Place: Those of the inward Eye accompany it wherever it goes; and are either moveable from Place to Place, as Bodies are; or else, if they are fixed, they belong to a Being, which, in all Places, is the

same porocisés.

Page 80. Good Original.]—
"What is it, which at one time
"raifes

" raises Virtue so high, and at an" other reduces it to nothing?
" What Intervention, what Com" position of Ideas?" - — The Answer to this Question will for ever give Ease. — From considering, according to Plato, Good, Original, that Order of Being which is most truly real, and of which whatever exists in sensible Things, is but a Shadow, transitory and changeable; while the other is invariable, and always the same; and always at hand too, if we think it worth enjoying.

Set this in Opposition to Fancy, or that which pleases; and rise from Particulars to this, which is universal, and comprehends all the other originally, and where they have only any real Existence.—Fancy, with its Consequences.—What the Consequences of Fancy towards this higher Order of Beauty?—To the Consideration of Things out of our Power, join this F 2 other

berself calls for, or really wants. It may be observed, that Desire disappointed, produces a greater Lowness of Spirits than that which arises from bodily Pain, hard Labour, Abstinence, or Study.—The Desire towards Things out of our Power is the Cause of Uneasiness; and therefore is as much an Evil, and to be dreaded as such, as Pain, or Labour, or any thing else which we commonly shun as evil: I mean, there is a Foundation in the thing itself for Aversion towards it.

Outward Beauty, Grandeur, and Power, are no Objects of Desire, or but poor ones. There is something within your own Power more real, and more trusted in the hind.

and more terfeet, in the kind.

Page 81. BEAUTY.] ———
BEAUTY IN GENERAL is Harmony or Proportion, adjusted by Mind or Rea
son,

fon, whether it exists in the Characters of Men, in sensible Things, whether of Art or Nature, in any Part of the Universe, or in the Whole: And MORAL BEAUTY is a Species under this Genus.

Virtue and Honesty, tho' here joined together as *One* Thing, should rather be consider'd as *Two: Virtue*, as including all those *Truths*, or Rules

F 3

of Reason, which regulate a Man's Character, with regard to bimself, to other Men, and the Order of Things: And Honesty, taking in only those which regulate the Conduct of Man with relation to other Men.

To lay down our Life for one's Friend, or Country, or freely refign it to Nature, furely belongs rather to Virtue than to Honesty: As a Man, for not being a Volunteer in these Cases, can never be called knavish, or dishonest. --- Virtue, in this large Sense, as it implies Beauty, Order, Symmetry, Consistency, &c. is an Idea which we may take from the Course of Life of Socrates, Plato, &c. in their several Circumstances and Situations in the World, and understand by Virtue that which is common to them all.

This is VIRTUE ITSELF, or that Species of the Βσία, or τὸ ον of the Antients, which they express'd by 'Αυτή ἡ Δικαιοσύνη, 'Αυτή ἡ 'Αζετή, which has nothing

nothing to do with fensible Things, and exists only in Mind; as a Triangle, or the Triangle itself, is that which is common to all fensible and particular Triangles; and has none but a mental, that is, the most real Existence in the World. --- As to the Ideas of Virtue itself, and a Triangle itself, when it is consider'd how these Two Ideas come into the Mind, how difficult the former, and how easily the latter, the Reason of the conceal'd Manner of the Antients will appear in a new and true Light.

Page 84. IDEA of VIRTUE.]—
Tho' we ought often to exert ourfelves directly in the Speculation of
Virtue, so as to comprehend and
contemplate it, as it stands in a perfect Character; yet, if we directly
aim at such Perfection, and are bent
upon raising our Character immemediately to this high Standard,

F 4 whilst

whilst we are thus pursuing Wisdom and Happiness, we make ourselves not only ridiculous, but very unhappy: We shall labour under a Burden too heavy for us to bear. And yet, perhaps, without some Attempts of this kind, we should hardly know

Quid valeant humeri, quid ferre recusent.

On the contrary, if we proceed the other Way, by applying our AVER-SION to what is wrong in the Affections, we may be more easy within ourselves, and more successfully attain our End. And, indeed, what is more beautiful and amiable, than this simple and modest Disposition of Mind itself, in which we are contented and satisfied with what is in our Power of Virtue and Knowlege, as well as of outward Things?

Page 85. HARMONY WITH NA-TURE.] — To harmonize with Nature, is to leave the Order of Things without to her Management. And this is but just . -- This Order is fixed and uncontroulable. We have nothing to do with it, because we can do nothing with it: And as Nature in this respect will have her Way, fo 'tis best she should. - This is her first Care, that we meddle not with those Affairs of her's, in which we are not concerned. -- Her next is this, to employ her whole Force in those Things, in which SHE is concerned, and WE too; but we much more; I mean, those Things which she has placed within ourselves ; -- in the Management of these Affairs to Assist and REWARD our Endeavours. The latter she will do, by bestowing Health, and outward Success, so far as the can confistently with her outward Establishment; and with Peace, Quiet,

Quiet, Tranquility, Freedom, Temperance, Fortitude, Justice; with Faith, Candor, Civility, to all Men; with Love and Friendship to others, with whom we are more nearly concerned. The former she will do, by imparting that Knowlege (so fast as we labour for it) on which the before-mention'd good things depend. She forgives all that is past: But, as it is in your Power to look back on the past, and as such Reflection may be of the greatest Use to you for the future, this she expects of you, and nothing more, with regard to the past. And what relates to the future, her Commands are still the same, to confine your whole Force to what is in your own Power, having nothing to do with ber Management.

Page 86. TRUTH.]—Besides
Temperance, Liberty, and Honesty, Truth likewise is necessary

in a virtuous Character. Without Truth, the former are I know not what: Honesty is absolutely dependent on Liberty; and Liberty, without Honesty, is a maim'd, broken,

half-form'd Thing.

By LIBERTY, I understand Freedom from those Passions which are raised from the View or Impression of outward Things; or from an Opinion of Good and Evil in them. By outward Things, are understood the Course of Nature, as our Life and Being depends upon it; the Conduct of Men, with their Characters, and the Works of Art; and the Posseffions of the Great. --- What is good or evil here, is to be fettled by those Truths which shew Man as he is in his natural State, and what his natural Wants are. -- In all this, Man is consider'd as he is in himself.

Honesty relates to Mankind. There is fomething focial in it: As in every Action relating to Mankind there

there must be something social; and the several Species of these social Actions must be determined by every one according to his Circumstances, as Particulars fall in his Way; some comprehended under one Species, some under another.

TRUTH. — There are proper Rea-Jons (natural to the respective Subjects) on which Liberty and Honesty depend: But these are not sufficient to complete the virtuous Character. The Evidence, Nature, and Certainty of these Reasons must be determined, and the Theory of the Understanding made complete, in order to finish Independency, and take off Admiration from what is commonly esteem'd as excellent in the shining Characters of the World.

A right Behaviour to Mankind, but more particularly with regard to the Reverence, Respect, Esteem, and Admiration of them, depends in every Instance on the Knowlege

of Mankind. -- To be always looking into one's-self, seeing one's own Faults, without looking abroad, is the fure Way of thinking too meanly of one's felf, and too highly of other Men. -What is there in our learned Moderns to be admired? -- What Knowlege have they of human Nature? ---What of God? -- What Secret Ways have they of acquiring Knowlege and Ability? -- What Skill in the Ways of Induction, Synthesis, and Analysis? But some of them, it seems, are admired for being Masters of Language, and the ready Use of it! --Be it so: -- Is not this Ability foon attained?-Truth lies much deeper than Words. What is there in the Polite, or the Men of Breeding, to be admir'd? -- What in those distinguished by Fortunes and Titles? ---What in some of a graver Character? What are their real inward Features, compar'd with their outward Airs of Wisdom, Learning, Importance, Egg.

[78]

&c. -- Is there not some Standard, by which the several Characters above-mention'd may be judged; and by which it may be discover'd how they themselves, with all their Admirers, wander in Darkness?

Page 88. Knowlege of Ourselves.] — Philosophy, as it teaches us the Knowlege of ourselves, seems at first Sight to be no deep Study. In the Writings of Xenophon, the Earl of Shaftesbury, and particularly of Arrian, it carries the Appearance of a Work rather of Common-Sense than accurate Reasoning. But this is only Appearance: It is in reality the deepest of all Studies, and requires the clearest Head, and most accurate Understanding: And this not only in the higher Subjects, but the lower ones; not only as we stand related to God, the Chief Beauty, and Sovereign Good, but in what relates to our selves,

[79]

ourselves, as moral Agents, and social Creatures.

Together with what is most sublime in Speculation, it contains not only what is common to the Sciences of the Learned, but what is common to all Arts; and in both respects is truly excellent.

Page 92. Definition according to Plato.] --- Plato's full Meaning in this Place of the Sophista, cannot be taken from Examples of geometrical Demonstrations; and, for that Reason, he makes use of other Examples. --- Every λόγος, according to Aristotle, in his first Book of the Soul (where he seems to oppose Timæus, but, in reality, explains him) is either δρισμός, or ἀπόδειξις: And it is of the former, I think, Plato gives us Examples.

Let A stand for such a Genus, as, ἀσπαλιεύτης: B, for such a Genus, as Artist; C, D, E, F, G, H, &c.

for

for the feveral Subdivisions of B: Then, as I take it, Plato's Proceed-

ing is in reality thus:

Every A is B; every B is either C or D; therefore every A is either C or D. And now, it appearing at Sight, that no A is C, the Conclusion is in reality this, Every A is D. -- And now he proceeds again; Every A is D; every D is either E or F; therefore every A is either E or F. And here, again, it appearing at Sight, that no A is E, the Conclusion is, Every A is F. Again -- Every A is F; every F is either G, or H; therefore every Ais G, or H. And here, again, it appearing, that no A is G, the Conclusion is, Every A is H. -- And thus he proceeds, till he comes to that which diftinguishes A from all other things. -- Let H (for Example) be the particular Mark by which A is thus dir ruished: Then this is the most compate Novos of A; viz. A is H

H, and F, and D, and B; collecting all the former Conclusions into one Proposition.

Page 10 I. ΤΟ ΔΙΑ ΤΙ ΠΡΩΤΟΝ.] That is first, on which the rest hang. That is first, and the Principle of Science, which if you take away, there is no Science, Knowlege, Reafon, Opinion, or Understanding; and which if you grant, all the rest follow.

Science is of Univerfals, and Things immutable and eternal: That therefore which is prior to it, must be immutable and eternal; and every Universal is One common to Many: If Many is not, there is no Universal; and if Being, Same and Different, are not, Many is not; every One of which Many consists, is, or has Being belonging to it; and Being is the same in every G not these G.

Many: And if these Ones are not each different from another, they are not Many, but One and the same individual Thing. -- Difference therefore is prior to Many, as well as Being and Same. And these are universally in every other Universal, and are to Universals, as Universals are to Particulars.

Page 106. Philosophy Modern AND ANTIENT.]—— There have been fome Perfons who imagin'd they could understand Sir Ifaac Newton's Philosophy, without any previous Acquaintance with the Mathematics. But this Absurdity is apparent and obvious.—There is another Absurdity of the like kind, tho' not so apparent and obvious, which Men are apt to fall into, with regard to the Speculations of the Antients. For there are certain Truths, acquir'd by

by a long Exercise of Reason, both in particular, and likewise in those Subjects that are most general, as much, perhaps, out of the Reach of the greatest Mathematician, as his Speculations are above the Capacity of some that are now called Mathematicians.

This is unobserved by the Learned: And they imagine, that, had the Antients spoken out their Minds plainly, they should fully have understood them. There are few, very few Men, who think, that, by another's Understanding, they themselves may not understand. But Mankind are not to be made any more truly knowing than happy, by another's Understanding. --- There is no Man can at once convey Light in the higher Subjects to another Man's Understanding. It must come into the Mind from its own Motions within itself: And the grand Art G 2

of Philosophy is, to set the Mind agoing; and, even when we think nothing of it, to assist it in its Labour. And, with regard to peounous, as well as ves, the Antients never attempt to lead you into Knowlege by a continued Chain of Reasoning: On the contrary, they write in such a Manner, as to force you to think for yourself.

Page III. MIND EVERY-WHERE.]
Let Socrates be still living, with the same Knowlege, or Stock of general Ideas: Let him have his Mansion, not in the Elysian Fields, but in some Star: Let him have Eyes and Ears to see and hear what passes on this Earth; and let him have no other general Knowlege but what he had before; but let him have the particular Ideas of you, and me, and every other Individual. Now, as he will be able

to judge of my Character and yours, fo he will of every other individual Person. --- Thus Socrates, by the fame Mind he had formerly, will be able to understand and judge of all the Characters of Men on this Earth. If there be other Men in the rest of the Planets, he will know all their Characters by the same general Ideas he made use of to judge the Men on Earth. And, in like manner, if the whole Universe be stock'd with such Creatures as we are, by the same general Ideas he will likewise judge of them, and know what they are. --- 'Tis evident, his general Ideas will accompany his particular ones to the remotest Sphere; and he will be able to know what passes there, as well as you and I, by the same general Ideas, know what passes where we are at present .-- So far Socrates will be everywhere: And thus, by only adding the Perception of Particulars to such general

general Ideas as we have, the Idea of a God may be form'd. --- Thus, Socrates has it in his Power to be every-where, or, in learned Language, is, δυνάμα, every-where, by turning his View successively towards any Object in the Universe, as he pleases; but God is άμα πανταχώ.

If it be thought strange and wonderful, that Socrates should have such infinite Sense of Hearing and Seeing, I think it much more strange and wonderful, that, by the Help of a few general Ideas, compar'd with that infinite Number of Particulars, which he observes in the particular Characters of Men throughout the Universe, he should be able to judge and know such an Infinity of Characters.

Nor have I, methinks, done Socrates so great an Honour, nor would be think it so great a Happiness, were he really in the high Station I have placed placed him. His own Understanding, ferving his own particular Person, and all that belong'd to it, gave him more Worth and Happiness, than when employ'd in surveying an Insinity of Particulars. Here lay his Excellency; for, take away his Understanding, and, with his infinite Sense, he becomes a very Brute.

This Perception of Particulars in God, Xenophon expresses by the Word δφθαλμός, as he does these general Ideas by φεόνησις, in the Dialogue

of Socrates with Aristodemus.

The Pythagoreans diffuse the Soul throughout the Universe every-where, and bring it out from the Center every-where, and with it surround the Universe.—By this, as I take it, they rather signify what Xenophon means by examples, than what he means by vas, or openions. In the old Timeus, indeed, there is no mention made of this Distinction: But Plato seems to have

have made it; for he places res in the outer Sphere, furrounding the rest; and gives it a Communication with all that is within, by which it understands all that passes. He takes care to unite res with ἐξθαλμός.

The Truth is this; the Pythagoreans feem to express the Perception both of Sense and Mind, by diffusing the Soul every-where throughout the Universe. But Plato has taken care to distinguish Sense from Mind; and, at the same time, to make them accompany one another every-where. In the outer Sphere he has placed MIND (which is always the SAME, and INVARIABLE), and given it a Communication with the variable Parts of the Universe.

Page 135. ONE IDEA THROUGH ALL MANYS, &c.] — There is a beautiful Opposition in the Expression which strikes a Light upon the Whole.

By

By One Idea through all Manys wrapt up in One (thro' every general Idea of every Syllogism in every Art and Science) no more is meant than what I call a Monad, consisting of Being, same and different. — And it is proper to say they are wrapt up in One, as every One of Many in general consists of these Ideas.

Page 144. God One. The Reason given by Spinosa that God is improperly called One, is, that One is a relative Thing; and that we call a thing One with regard to its Existence, and not with regard to its Essence. -- But why has not One and Many, and every One of every Many, Essence, as well as other Things?

In Truth, God is One itself; and is most justly and properly defin'd

by

[90]

by One, as He is the Essence of every Many; and, consequently, of all the infinite Combinations and Harmonies resulting from them.

FINIS.







